1. Consider the nonlinear wave equation:

$$u_t + c(u) u_x = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad c(u) = \alpha \, u^n. \tag{1}$$

Repeat what we did in class to solve for the evolution of an initial wave  $u(x, 0) = A \exp(-x^2)$  for general n. Specifically:

- a) Solve Eq. (1) using the method of characteristics.
- b) Find the general solution for the breaking time  $t_B$  as a function of the initial x-position  $\xi$ .
- c) Find the minimum breaking time,  $t_{\min}$ , for the whole wave.
- d) For n = 2 and  $\alpha = 1$  give the expressions for  $t_B$  and  $t_{\min}$ .
- e) For n = 2 and  $\alpha = 1$  plot the solution u(x, t) in the (x, t) plane. [Hint: you might want to use the code characteristics.m.]
- f) For A = 1, n = 2 and  $\alpha = 1$  modify the code charac\_example\_pde.m to integrate the solution and to show that the  $t_{\min}$  found in c) is correct.
- g) Do the same as in d)–f) for n = 3 and  $\alpha = 1$ . Contrast the differences/similarities between n = 2 and n = 3 and explain them using physical arguments. In particular, which case (n = 2 or n = 3) breaks earlier for A = 1. What if A < 1 or A > 1. Can you find a value for A such that the two cases break at the same time?
- 2. This exercise aims to analyze some generic aspects of the KdV equation:

$$\frac{1}{c}u_t + u_x + \frac{3}{2h}uu_x + \frac{h^2}{6}u_{xxx} = 0,$$
(2)

where u(x, t) is the vertical displacement of the wave surface from its resting level, c is the speed of plane waves in the absence of nonlinearity and dispersion, and h is the resting depth of the water canal.

a) By the following transformations:  $\xi = x - ct$ ,  $\tilde{u} = \beta u$  and  $y = \alpha \xi$ , prove that the KdV equation (2) reduces to

$$\tilde{u}_t + 6\tilde{u}\tilde{u}_y + \tilde{u}_{yyy} = 0. \tag{3}$$

Give the explicit form for  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  in terms of h and c.

b) Prove that if f(x - vt) is a solution for the KdV equation:

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0. (4)$$

then  $\lambda + f(x - \tilde{v}t)$  is also a solution of the KdV equation. Give the explicit expression for  $\tilde{v}$  as a function of v and  $\lambda$ . Let us check numerically this invariance by taking an initial profile  $u(x,0) = u_0(x)$  in the form of a **sech** solitary wave solution to the KdV and its transformed version  $u(x,0) = \lambda + u_0(x)$  using some value for  $\lambda$  (for example  $\lambda = 1$ ). Integrate *numerically* both of these initial conditions using the code KdV.m. Do you get what you expected? Elaborate.

c) Show that the superposition principle is not valid for the KdV. I.e. show that if f(x,t) and g(x,t) are general solutions to (4) then f + g is not a solution. Are there any particular cases when f + g is indeed a solution (where f and g are solutions) for special choices of f and g (or combinations thereof)?